

HOWARD COMMUNITY COLLEGE

Registration

2017CF XE-218-S7238 – *LeadingAge 2017 Annual Meeting & Expo*

October 29 – November 1, 2017

Social Security Number

X	X	X		X	X						
---	---	---	--	---	---	--	--	--	--	--	--

OR

HCC Identification Number

Name – Last

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

First

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Student's Home Address (check here if new address)

--

Number and Street

City

State

Zip code

County	Date of Birth	Gender	What is your race? Select one or more of the following categories: Are you of Hispanic or Latino origin? Yes___ No___		
			<input type="checkbox"/> American Indian or Alaska Native	<input type="checkbox"/> Black or African American	<input type="checkbox"/> White
		<input type="checkbox"/> I am over 16 years of age.	<input type="checkbox"/> Asian	<input type="checkbox"/> Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander	
<i>To see a list of ethnic origin category definitions, please visit http://www.howardcc.edu/categorydefinitions</i>					

E-Mail Address _____

Home Phone

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

area code

Business Phone

--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

area code

The Maryland Nurses Association is an accredited provider of continuing nursing education by the American Nurses Credentialing Center's Commission on Accreditation.

I authorize the release of attendance records and registration information to LeadingAge or to credentialing agencies.

100% attendance at selected nursing sessions is required for contact hours.

MUST BE SIGNED AND DATED TO BE OFFICIAL. I certify the above information to be true and correct.

Signature _____ **Date** _____

To Obtain Nursing Contact Hours:

- ◆ Please complete and sign this college registration form.
- ◆ Record your session attendance by swiping your conference badge at the beginning and end of each nursing session to record your attendance.
- ◆ Please complete an evaluation for each nursing session you attend (attached to this form).
- ◆ At your last session please submit your completed registration form and evaluations to the session monitor or to the CE/Speaker Info Desk (in the registration area).

Date of Session: 10/29/2017

Time: 3:30p-5:00p

Participant Evaluation

Please rate the extent to which you are now able to meet each of the activity objectives by circling the number that best reflects your opinion:

	Low				High
Identify education concepts that enhance staff decision making	1	2	3	4	5
Describe how training across levels creates cohesive team work	1	2	3	4	5
Identify "care partner" concepts that empower staff and create autonomy	1	2	3	4	5
Please rate how this activity will influence your nursing practice	1	2	3	4	5

Please rate the following by circling the number that best reflects your opinion:

Facilities were conducive to learning	1	2	3	4	5
Content was relevant to objectives	1	2	3	4	5
Content was consistent with stated program objectives/goals	1	2	3	4	5
Teaching methods were effective for the content	1	2	3	4	5
Audiovisual/handout materials were effective	1	2	3	4	5

Were disclosures made at the start of the activity? Yes No

Were the conference objectives balanced and free of commercial bias? Yes No

Was an announcement made that MNA/ANCC does not endorse or approve any Commercial products?
 Yes No

Please evaluate each instructor individually:

1. Was knowledgeable about the topic?

Virginia Blair	1	2	3	4	5
Karen Doyle	1	2	3	4	5
Valerie Murphy	1	2	3	4	5

2. Had an effective presentation style?

Virginia Blair	1	2	3	4	5
Karen Doyle	1	2	3	4	5
Valerie Murphy	1	2	3	4	5

3. Used appropriate teaching strategies?

Virginia Blair	1	2	3	4	5
Karen Doyle	1	2	3	4	5
Valerie Murphy	1	2	3	4	5

4. Presented clearly and concisely?

Virginia Blair	1	2	3	4	5
Karen Doyle	1	2	3	4	5
Valerie Murphy	1	2	3	4	5

Comments:

Participant Evaluation

Please rate the extent to which you are now able to meet each of the activity objectives by circling the number that best reflects your opinion:

	Low				High
Site labor trends in the not-for-profit aging services field	1	2	3	4	5
State ways to ensure qualified aging services in various settings	1	2	3	4	5
Identify educational strategies for directors of nursing nationwide	1	2	3	4	5
Please rate how this activity will influence your nursing practice	1	2	3	4	5

Please rate the following by circling the number that best reflects your opinion:

Facilities were conducive to learning	1	2	3	4	5
Content was relevant to objectives	1	2	3	4	5
Content was consistent with stated program objectives/goals	1	2	3	4	5
Teaching methods were effective for the content	1	2	3	4	5
Audiovisual/handout materials were effective	1	2	3	4	5

Were disclosures made at the start of the activity? Yes No

Were the conference objectives balanced and free of commercial bias? Yes No

Was an announcement made that MNA/ANCC does not endorse or approve any Commercial products?

Yes No

Please evaluate each instructor individually:

1. Was knowledgeable about the topic?

Douglas Olsen	1	2	3	4	5
Barbara Bowers	1	2	3	4	5
Robert Burke	1	2	3	4	5
Nicholas Castle	1	2	3	4	5
Robyn Stone	1	2	3	4	5

2. Had an effective presentation style?

Douglas Olsen	1	2	3	4	5
Barbara Bowers	1	2	3	4	5
Robert Burke	1	2	3	4	5
Nicholas Castle	1	2	3	4	5
Robyn Stone	1	2	3	4	5

3. Used appropriate teaching strategies?

Douglas Olsen	1	2	3	4	5
Barbara Bowers	1	2	3	4	5
Robert Burke	1	2	3	4	5
Nicholas Castle	1	2	3	4	5
Robyn Stone	1	2	3	4	5

4. Presented clearly and concisely?

Douglas Olsen	1	2	3	4	5
Barbara Bowers	1	2	3	4	5
Robert Burke	1	2	3	4	5
Nicholas Castle	1	2	3	4	5
Robyn Stone	1	2	3	4	5

Comments:

Session 19-B Specialized Patient-Centered Rounding

Date of Session: 10/30/2017

Time: 8:00a-9:30a

Participant Evaluation

Please rate the extent to which you are now able to meet each of the activity objectives by circling the number that best reflects your opinion:

	Low				High
Identify benefits of pro-active nursing, with respect to communication, satisfaction, self-efficacy, and resident quality of life	1	2	3	4	5
Describe a multidisciplinary rounding process	1	2	3	4	5
Identify benefits of bedside nursing rounds at skilled nursing home	1	2	3	4	5
Please rate how this activity will influence your nursing practice	1	2	3	4	5

Please rate the following by circling the number that best reflects your opinion:

Facilities were conducive to learning	1	2	3	4	5
Content was relevant to objectives	1	2	3	4	5
Content was consistent with stated program objectives/goals	1	2	3	4	5
Teaching methods were effective for the content	1	2	3	4	5
Audiovisual/handout materials were effective	1	2	3	4	5

Were disclosures made at the start of the activity? Yes No

Were the conference objectives balanced and free of commercial bias? Yes No

Was an announcement made that MNA/ANCC does not endorse or approve any Commercial products?

Yes No

Please evaluate each instructor individually:

1. Was knowledgeable about the topic?

Shelley Acus	1	2	3	4	5
Jeffrey Schlaudecker	1	2	3	4	5

2. Had an effective presentation style?

Shelley Acus	1	2	3	4	5
Jeffrey Schlaudecker	1	2	3	4	5

3. Used appropriate teaching strategies?

Shelley Acus	1	2	3	4	5
Jeffrey Schlaudecker	1	2	3	4	5

4. Presented clearly and concisely?

Shelley Acus	1	2	3	4	5
Jeffrey Schlaudecker	1	2	3	4	5

Comments:

Session 76-B What Characterizes a High Performing Organization

Date of Session: 10/30/2017

Time: 8:00a-9:30a

Participant Evaluation

Please rate the extent to which you are now able to meet each of the activity objectives by circling the number that best reflects your opinion:

	Low				High
Identify everyday practices that contribute to high performance organizations	1	2	3	4	5
Identify benchmarks that are used to identify key performance areas	1	2	3	4	5
Site methods to improve quality measures, resident satisfaction, and survey outcomes	1	2	3	4	5
Please rate how this activity will influence your nursing practice	1	2	3	4	5

Please rate the following by circling the number that best reflects your opinion:

Facilities were conducive to learning	1	2	3	4	5
Content was relevant to objectives	1	2	3	4	5
Content was consistent with stated program objectives/goals	1	2	3	4	5
Teaching methods were effective for the content	1	2	3	4	5
Audiovisual/handout materials were effective	1	2	3	4	5

Were disclosures made at the start of the activity? Yes No

Were the conference objectives balanced and free of commercial bias? Yes No

Was an announcement made that MNA/ANCC does not endorse or approve any Commercial products?

Yes No

Please evaluate each instructor individually:

1. Was knowledgeable about the topic?

Bette McNee	1	2	3	4	5
Betty Norman	1	2	3	4	5
Kay Weidner	1	2	3	4	5

2. Had an effective presentation style?

Bette McNee	1	2	3	4	5
Betty Norman	1	2	3	4	5
Kay Weidner	1	2	3	4	5

3. Used appropriate teaching strategies?

Bette McNee	1	2	3	4	5
Betty Norman	1	2	3	4	5
Kay Weidner	1	2	3	4	5

4. Presented clearly and concisely?

Bette McNee	1	2	3	4	5
Betty Norman	1	2	3	4	5
Kay Weidner	1	2	3	4	5

Comments:

Participant Evaluation

Please rate the extent to which you are now able to meet each of the activity objectives by circling the number that best reflects your opinion:

	Low			High	
Identify pros and cons of integrating elders with dementia in settings	1	2	3	4	5
Describe how dementia care has evolved from locked wings to neighborhoods	1	2	3	4	5
Identify your facilities approach to caring for people with dementia	1	2	3	4	5
Please rate how this activity will influence your nursing practice	1	2	3	4	5

Please rate the following by circling the number that best reflects your opinion:

Facilities were conducive to learning	1	2	3	4	5
Content was relevant to objectives	1	2	3	4	5
Content was consistent with stated program objectives/goals	1	2	3	4	5
Teaching methods were effective for the content	1	2	3	4	5
Audiovisual/handout materials were effective	1	2	3	4	5

Were disclosures made at the start of the activity? Yes No

Were the conference objectives balanced and free of commercial bias? Yes No

Was an announcement made that MNA/ANCC does not endorse or approve any Commercial products?

Yes No

Please evaluate each instructor individually:

1. Was knowledgeable about the topic?

Ann Wyatt	1	2	3	4	5
-----------	---	---	---	---	---

2. Had an effective presentation style?

Ann Wyatt	1	2	3	4	5
-----------	---	---	---	---	---

3. Used appropriate teaching strategies?

Ann Wyatt	1	2	3	4	5
-----------	---	---	---	---	---

4. Presented clearly and concisely?

Ann Wyatt	1	2	3	4	5
-----------	---	---	---	---	---

Comments:

Session 79-C Packaging Post-Acute Services To Improve Outcomes

Date of Session: 10/30/2017

Time: 3:30p-5:00p

Participant Evaluation

Please rate the extent to which you are now able to meet each of the activity objectives by circling the number that best reflects your opinion:

	Low			High	
Identify strategies to improve care coordination, patient transitions, and outcomes	1	2	3	4	5
State best practices that increase physician and acute care collaboration	1	2	3	4	5
Identify ways to deliver optimal care after acute care stays	1	2	3	4	5
Please rate how this activity will influence your nursing practice	1	2	3	4	5

Please rate the following by circling the number that best reflects your opinion:

Facilities were conducive to learning	1	2	3	4	5
Content was relevant to objectives	1	2	3	4	5
Content was consistent with stated program objectives/goals	1	2	3	4	5
Teaching methods were effective for the content	1	2	3	4	5
Audiovisual/handout materials were effective	1	2	3	4	5

Were disclosures made at the start of the activity? Yes No

Were the conference objectives balanced and free of commercial bias? Yes No

Was an announcement made that MNA/ANCC does not endorse or approve any Commercial products?

Yes No

Please evaluate each instructor individually:

1. Was knowledgeable about the topic?

Chris Murphy	1	2	3	4	5
Sherri Robbins	1	2	3	4	5
Karen Vance	1	2	3	4	5

2. Had an effective presentation style?

Chris Murphy	1	2	3	4	5
Sherri Robbins	1	2	3	4	5
Karen Vance	1	2	3	4	5

3. Used appropriate teaching strategies?

Chris Murphy	1	2	3	4	5
Sherri Robbins	1	2	3	4	5
Karen Vance	1	2	3	4	5

4. Presented clearly and concisely?

Chris Murphy	1	2	3	4	5
Sherri Robbins	1	2	3	4	5
Karen Vance	1	2	3	4	5

Comments:

Participant Evaluation

Please rate the extent to which you are now able to meet each of the activity objectives by circling the number that best reflects your opinion:

	Low				High
Identify how residents & staff collaborate on quality improvement and culture change	1	2	3	4	5
Identify strategies to increase nurse independence and resident/staff communication	1	2	3	4	5
Identify care model qualities that differ from traditional paradigms	1	2	3	4	5
Please rate how this activity will influence your nursing practice	1	2	3	4	5

Please rate the following by circling the number that best reflects your opinion:

Facilities were conducive to learning	1	2	3	4	5
Content was relevant to objectives	1	2	3	4	5
Content was consistent with stated program objectives/goals	1	2	3	4	5
Teaching methods were effective for the content	1	2	3	4	5
Audiovisual/handout materials were effective	1	2	3	4	5

Were disclosures made at the start of the activity? Yes No

Were the conference objectives balanced and free of commercial bias? Yes No

Was an announcement made that MNA/ANCC does not endorse or approve any Commercial products?
 Yes No

Please evaluate each instructor individually:

1. Was knowledgeable about the topic?

Charles Duff	1	2	3	4	5
Pat Sprigg	1	2	3	4	5
Jen Wilson	1	2	3	4	5

2. Had an effective presentation style?

Charles Duff	1	2	3	4	5
Pat Sprigg	1	2	3	4	5
Jen Wilson	1	2	3	4	5

3. Used appropriate teaching strategies?

Charles Duff	1	2	3	4	5
Pat Sprigg	1	2	3	4	5
Jen Wilson	1	2	3	4	5

4. Presented clearly and concisely?

Charles Duff	1	2	3	4	5
Pat Sprigg	1	2	3	4	5
Jen Wilson	1	2	3	4	5

Comments:

Session 154-D Building a Stable Workforce

Date of Session: 10/31/2017

Time: 8:00a-9:30a

Participant Evaluation

Please rate the extent to which you are now able to meet each of the activity objectives by circling the number that best reflects your opinion:

	Low				High
Identify factors that influence nurse job seekers, and nurses leaving the field	1	2	3	4	5
Identify strategies that impact nursing satisfaction and retention in LTCF	1	2	3	4	5
Identify ways to increase nurse RN recruitment and retention in aging services	1	2	3	4	5
Please rate how this activity will influence your nursing practice	1	2	3	4	5

Please rate the following by circling the number that best reflects your opinion:

Facilities were conducive to learning	1	2	3	4	5
Content was relevant to objectives	1	2	3	4	5
Content was consistent with stated program objectives/goals	1	2	3	4	5
Teaching methods were effective for the content	1	2	3	4	5
Audiovisual/handout materials were effective	1	2	3	4	5

Were disclosures made at the start of the activity? Yes No

Were the conference objectives balanced and free of commercial bias? Yes No

Was an announcement made that MNA/ANCC does not endorse or approve any Commercial products?

Yes No

Please evaluate each instructor individually:

1. Was knowledgeable about the topic?

Barbara Bowers	1	2	3	4	5
Kimberly Nolet	1	2	3	4	5

2. Had an effective presentation style?

Barbara Bowers	1	2	3	4	5
Kimberly Nolet	1	2	3	4	5

3. Used appropriate teaching strategies?

Barbara Bowers	1	2	3	4	5
Kimberly Nolet	1	2	3	4	5

4. Presented clearly and concisely?

Barbara Bowers	1	2	3	4	5
Kimberly Nolet	1	2	3	4	5

Comments:

Participant Evaluation

Please rate the extent to which you are now able to meet each of the activity objectives by circling the number that best reflects your opinion:

	Low			High	
Identify the importance of understanding the specific needs of LGBT seniors	1	2	3	4	5
Identify factors that contribute to creating a welcome environment for LGBT seniors	1	2	3	4	5
Identify ways that staff and residents discriminate against LGBT seniors	1	2	3	4	5
Please rate how this activity will influence your nursing practice	1	2	3	4	5

Please rate the following by circling the number that best reflects your opinion:

Facilities were conducive to learning	1	2	3	4	5
Content was relevant to objectives	1	2	3	4	5
Content was consistent with stated program objectives/goals	1	2	3	4	5
Teaching methods were effective for the content	1	2	3	4	5
Audiovisual/handout materials were effective	1	2	3	4	5

Were disclosures made at the start of the activity? Yes No

Were the conference objectives balanced and free of commercial bias? Yes No

Was an announcement made that MNA/ANCC does not endorse or approve any Commercial products?

Yes No

Please evaluate each instructor individually:

1. Was knowledgeable about the topic?

Frank Sherman	1	2	3	4	5
Maria Perez	1	2	3	4	5
Jordan Strobl	1	2	3	4	5

2. Had an effective presentation style?

Frank Sherman	1	2	3	4	5
Maria Perez	1	2	3	4	5
Jordan Strobl	1	2	3	4	5

3. Used appropriate teaching strategies?

Frank Sherman	1	2	3	4	5
Maria Perez	1	2	3	4	5
Jordan Strobl	1	2	3	4	5

4. Presented clearly and concisely?

Frank Sherman	1	2	3	4	5
Maria Perez	1	2	3	4	5
Jordan Strobl	1	2	3	4	5

Comments:

Session 83-E Care Coordination Model for Improved Outcomes and Satisfaction

Date of Session: 10/31/2017

Time: 10:00a-11:30a

Participant Evaluation

Please rate the extent to which you are now able to meet each of the activity objectives by circling the number that best reflects your opinion:

	Low			High	
Identify characteristics of programs that reduces length of stay and readmissions	1	2	3	4	5
Identify care pathways that promote quality of care	1	2	3	4	5
Identify assessment methods that address specific segments of patient contact	1	2	3	4	5
Please rate how this activity will influence your nursing practice	1	2	3	4	5

Please rate the following by circling the number that best reflects your opinion:

Facilities were conducive to learning	1	2	3	4	5
Content was relevant to objectives	1	2	3	4	5
Content was consistent with stated program objectives/goals	1	2	3	4	5
Teaching methods were effective for the content	1	2	3	4	5
Audiovisual/handout materials were effective	1	2	3	4	5

Were disclosures made at the start of the activity? Yes No

Were the conference objectives balanced and free of commercial bias? Yes No

Was an announcement made that MNA/ANCC does not endorse or approve any Commercial products?

Yes No

Please evaluate each instructor individually:

1. Was knowledgeable about the topic?

Ed Cornejo	1	2	3	4	5
Diane Hislop	1	2	3	4	5
Reginald Hislop	1	2	3	4	5
Jennifer Maina	1	2	3	4	5

2. Had an effective presentation style?

Ed Cornejo	1	2	3	4	5
Diane Hislop	1	2	3	4	5
Reginald Hislop	1	2	3	4	5
Jennifer Maina	1	2	3	4	5

3. Used appropriate teaching strategies?

Ed Cornejo	1	2	3	4	5
Diane Hislop	1	2	3	4	5
Reginald Hislop	1	2	3	4	5
Jennifer Mania	1	2	3	4	5

4. Presented clearly and concisely?

Ed Cornejo	1	2	3	4	5
Diane Hislop	1	2	3	4	5

Reginald Hislop	1	2	3	4	5
Jennifer Maina	1	2	3	4	5

Comments:

Session 28-F Meeting the Rising Acuity Needs in Assisted Living

Date of Session: 10/31/2017

Time: 3:30p-5:00p

Participant Evaluation

Please rate the extent to which you are now able to meet each of the activity objectives by circling the number that best reflects your opinion:

	Low				High
Identify resources and tools used to manage risk associated with various levels of care	1	2	3	4	5
Identify trends designed to meet rising acuity in assisted living	1	2	3	4	5
Recognize changing acuity needs of residents in assisted living facilities	1	2	3	4	5
Please rate how this activity will influence your nursing practice	1	2	3	4	5

Please rate the following by circling the number that best reflects your opinion:

Facilities were conducive to learning	1	2	3	4	5
Content was relevant to objectives	1	2	3	4	5
Content was consistent with stated program objectives/goals	1	2	3	4	5
Teaching methods were effective for the content	1	2	3	4	5
Audiovisual/handout materials were effective	1	2	3	4	5

Were disclosures made at the start of the activity? Yes No

Were the conference objectives balanced and free of commercial bias? Yes No

Was an announcement made that MNA/ANCC does not endorse or approve any Commercial products?

Yes No

Please evaluate each instructor individually:

1. Was knowledgeable about the topic?

Liz Jensen	1	2	3	4	5
------------	---	---	---	---	---

2. Had an effective presentation style?

Liz Jensen	1	2	3	4	5
------------	---	---	---	---	---

3. Used appropriate teaching strategies?

Liz Jensen	1	2	3	4	5
------------	---	---	---	---	---

4. Presented clearly and concisely?

Liz Jensen	1	2	3	4	5
------------	---	---	---	---	---

Comments:

Participant Evaluation

Please rate the extent to which you are now able to meet each of the activity objectives by circling the number that best reflects your opinion:

	Low			High	
Identify the immediate risks for elderly following discharge from hospitalization	1	2	3	4	5
State nurse-led interventions that reduce risks of re-hospitalization	1	2	3	4	5
Site evidence based interventions that promote self-management at home	1	2	3	4	5
Please rate how this activity will influence your nursing practice	1	2	3	4	5

Please rate the following by circling the number that best reflects your opinion:

Facilities were conducive to learning	1	2	3	4	5
Content was relevant to objectives	1	2	3	4	5
Content was consistent with stated program objectives/goals	1	2	3	4	5
Teaching methods were effective for the content	1	2	3	4	5
Audiovisual/handout materials were effective	1	2	3	4	5

Were disclosures made at the start of the activity? Yes No

Were the conference objectives balanced and free of commercial bias? Yes No

Was an announcement made that MNA/ANCC does not endorse or approve any Commercial products?

Yes No

Please evaluate each instructor individually:

1. Was knowledgeable about the topic?

Yvonne Fast	1	2	3	4	5
-------------	---	---	---	---	---

2. Had an effective presentation style?

Yvonne Fast	1	2	3	4	5
-------------	---	---	---	---	---

3. Used appropriate teaching strategies?

Yvonne Fast	1	2	3	4	5
-------------	---	---	---	---	---

4. Presented clearly and concisely?

Yvonne Fast	1	2	3	4	5
-------------	---	---	---	---	---

Comments:

Session 31-G Comfort Care: Care Planning for People with Dementia

Date of Session: 11/1/2017

Time: 8a-9:30a

Participant Evaluation

Please rate the extent to which you are now able to meet each of the activity objectives by circling the number that best reflects your opinion:

	Low				High
Identify the process of implementing the comfort-palliative approach	1	2	3	4	5
State how "comfort" interventions are used to care for people with dementia	1	2	3	4	5
Identify how "comfort" measures manage pain, and decrease pain	1	2	3	4	5
Please rate how this activity will influence your nursing practice	1	2	3	4	5

Please rate the following by circling the number that best reflects your opinion:

Facilities were conducive to learning	1	2	3	4	5
Content was relevant to objectives	1	2	3	4	5
Content was consistent with stated program objectives/goals	1	2	3	4	5
Teaching methods were effective for the content	1	2	3	4	5
Audiovisual/handout materials were effective	1	2	3	4	5

Were disclosures made at the start of the activity? Yes No

Were the conference objectives balanced and free of commercial bias? Yes No

Was an announcement made that MNA/ANCC does not endorse or approve any Commercial products?

Yes No

Please evaluate each instructor individually:

1. Was knowledgeable about the topic?

Robert Herel	1	2	3	4	5
Tony Lewis	1	2	3	4	5
Liza Long	1	2	3	4	5
Ann Wyatt	1	2	3	4	5

2. Had an effective presentation style?

Robert Herel	1	2	3	4	5
Tony Lewis	1	2	3	4	5
Liza Long	1	2	3	4	5
Ann Wyatt	1	2	3	4	5

3. Used appropriate teaching strategies?

Robert Herel	1	2	3	4	5
Tony Lewis	1	2	3	4	5
Liza Long	1	2	3	4	5
Ann Wyatt	1	2	3	4	5

4. Presented clearly and concisely?

Robert Herel	1	2	3	4	5
Tony Lewis	1	2	3	4	5

Liza Long	1	2	3	4	5
Ann Wyatt	1	2	3	4	5

Comments:

Session 110-G Mitigating Risk to Achieve Excellence

Date of Session: 11/1/2017

Time: 8:00a-9:30a

Participant Evaluation

Please rate the extent to which you are now able to meet each of the activity objectives by circling the number that best reflects your opinion:

	Low			High	
Site trends in claims related to resident incidents in the workplace	1	2	3	4	5
State risk-reducing strategies used to minimize resident risk exposures	1	2	3	4	5
Identify legal issues from health surveys, citations, and how they are used in litigation	1	2	3	4	5
Please rate how this activity will influence your nursing practice	1	2	3	4	5

Please rate the following by circling the number that best reflects your opinion:

Facilities were conducive to learning	1	2	3	4	5
Content was relevant to objectives	1	2	3	4	5
Content was consistent with stated program objectives/goals	1	2	3	4	5
Teaching methods were effective for the content	1	2	3	4	5
Audiovisual/handout materials were effective	1	2	3	4	5

Were disclosures made at the start of the activity? Yes No

Were the conference objectives balanced and free of commercial bias? Yes No

Was an announcement made that MNA/ANCC does not endorse or approve any Commercial products?
 Yes No

Please evaluate each instructor individually:

1. Was knowledgeable about the topic?

Patricia Harmon	1	2	3	4	5
Beth Laxton	1	2	3	4	5
Michelle Murdock	1	2	3	4	5
Ellen Wodka	1	2	3	4	5
Frank Russo	1	2	3	4	5

2. Had an effective presentation style?

Patricia Harmon	1	2	3	4	5
Beth Laxton	1	2	3	4	5
Michelle Murdock	1	2	3	4	5
Ellen Wodka	1	2	3	4	5
Frank Russo	1	2	3	4	5

3. Used appropriate teaching strategies?

Patricia Harmon	1	2	3	4	5
Beth Laxton	1	2	3	4	5
Michelle Murdock	1	2	3	4	5
Ellen Wodka	1	2	3	4	5
Frank Russo	1	2	3	4	5

4. Presented clearly and concisely?

Patricia Harmon	1	2	3	4	5
Beth Laxton	1	2	3	4	5
Michelle Murdock	1	2	3	4	5
Ellen Wodka	1	2	3	4	5
Frank Russo	1	2	3	4	5

Comments:

Participant Evaluation

Please rate the extent to which you are now able to meet each of the activity objectives by circling the number that best reflects your opinion:

	Low				High
State non-pharmacologic methods to improve communication for people with dementia	1	2	3	4	5
Identify meaningful communication techniques used with persons with dementia	1	2	3	4	5
Site communication techniques that reduce behaviors associated with dementia	1	2	3	4	5
Please rate how this activity will influence your nursing practice	1	2	3	4	5

Please rate the following by circling the number that best reflects your opinion:

Facilities were conducive to learning	1	2	3	4	5
Content was relevant to objectives	1	2	3	4	5
Content was consistent with stated program objectives/goals	1	2	3	4	5
Teaching methods were effective for the content	1	2	3	4	5
Audiovisual/handout materials were effective	1	2	3	4	5

Were disclosures made at the start of the activity? Yes No

Were the conference objectives balanced and free of commercial bias? Yes No

Was an announcement made that MNA/ANCC does not endorse or approve any Commercial products?
 Yes No

Please evaluate each instructor individually:

1. Was knowledgeable about the topic?

Sharon Johnson	1	2	3	4	5
----------------	---	---	---	---	---

2. Had an effective presentation style?

Sharon Johnson	1	2	3	4	5
----------------	---	---	---	---	---

3. Used appropriate teaching strategies?

Sharon Johnson	1	2	3	4	5
----------------	---	---	---	---	---

4. Presented clearly and concisely?

Sharon Johnson	1	2	3	4	5
----------------	---	---	---	---	---

Comments:

Session 33-H Integrating Palliative Care Services in Long Term Care Settings

Date of Session: 11/1/2017

Time: 11:30a-1:00p

Participant Evaluation

Please rate the extent to which you are now able to meet each of the activity objectives by circling the number that best reflects your opinion:

	Low				High
State how models of care integrate palliative practices	1	2	3	4	5
Identify key components of a palliative care model in the LTCF	1	2	3	4	5
Site strategies to design, implement and operate a palliative care program	1	2	3	4	5
Please rate how this activity will influence your nursing practice	1	2	3	4	5

Please rate the following by circling the number that best reflects your opinion:

Facilities were conducive to learning	1	2	3	4	5
Content was relevant to objectives	1	2	3	4	5
Content was consistent with stated program objectives/goals	1	2	3	4	5
Teaching methods were effective for the content	1	2	3	4	5
Audiovisual/handout materials were effective	1	2	3	4	5

Were disclosures made at the start of the activity? Yes No

Were the conference objectives balanced and free of commercial bias? Yes No

Was an announcement made that MNA/ANCC does not endorse or approve any Commercial products?

Yes No

Please evaluate each instructor individually:

1. Was knowledgeable about the topic?

Linda Healy	1	2	3	4	5
Richelle Hooper	1	2	3	4	5
Jeanne Twohig	1	2	3	4	5
Kathleen Unroe	1	2	3	4	5

2. Had an effective presentation style?

Linda Healy	1	2	3	4	5
Richelle Hooper	1	2	3	4	5
Jeanne Twohig	1	2	3	4	5
Kathleen Unroe	1	2	3	4	5

3. Used appropriate teaching strategies?

Linda Healy	1	2	3	4	5
Richelle Hooper	1	2	3	4	5
Jeanne Twohig	1	2	3	4	5
Kathleen Unroe	1	2	3	4	5

4. Presented clearly and concisely?

Linda Healy	1	2	3	4	5
Richelle Hooper	1	2	3	4	5

Jeanne Twohig	1	2	3	4	5
Kathleen Unroe	1	2	3	4	5

Comments: